

Conformal Decomposition of the Effective Action and Covariant Curvature Expansion

A. O. Barvinsky, A. G. Mirzabekian

*Lebedev Physics Institute and Research Center in Physics
Leninsky Prospekt 53, Moscow 117924, Russia*

and

V. V. Zhytnikov

*Moscow State Pedagogical University,
Davydovskii 4, Moscow 107140 and
Lebedev Research Center in Physics*

Abstract

The class of effective actions exactly reproducing the conformal anomaly in 4D is considered. It is demonstrated that the freedom within this class can be fixed by the choice of the conformal gauge. The conformal invariant part of the generic one-loop effective action expanded in the covariant series up to third order in the curvature is rewritten in the new conformal basis. The possible applications of the obtained results are discussed.

1 Introduction: 4D vs 2D

This is a well-known fact that the local conformal invariance is a powerful tool on the way to exactly solvable models in QFT. The culmination point of this idea is a 2-dimensional theory of massless conformal scalar field underlying a huge building of the modern string and conformal field theory. This theory is famous due to the fact that its exact quantum effective action is known in

a closed form of the Polyakov action [1, 2]

$$W_P = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \square = \frac{1}{96\pi} \int d^2x g^{1/2} R \frac{1}{\square} R, \quad (1)$$

that can be obtained by integrating the local conformal anomaly

$$g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta W_P}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = -\frac{1}{48\pi} g^{1/2} R. \quad (2)$$

The extension of this procedure to higher dimensions, namely to 4D, is also known and exists in the form of the Riegert action [3]

$$\begin{aligned} W_R = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x g^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left[aC^2 + dF^2 + \frac{b}{2} \left(E - \frac{2}{3} \square R \right) \right] \right. \\ & \left. \times \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} \left(E - \frac{2}{3} \square R \right) - \left(\frac{c}{12} + \frac{b}{18} \right) R^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

which also generates the local conformal anomaly in 4D:

$$g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta W_R}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2} g^{1/2} (aC^2 + bE + dF^2 + c\square R) \equiv \frac{1}{2} T_A, \quad (4)$$

where

$$C^2 = C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^2, \quad F^2 = F_{\mu\nu}^2, \quad E = R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}^2 + R^2,$$

are respectively the squares of the Weyl tensor, the square of the gauge field strength and Euler density, a, b, c and d are numerical constants specifying a concrete conformal-invariant model in 4D, and $1/\mathcal{D}$ is an inverse (Green's function) of a special 4-th order differential operator

$$\mathcal{D} = \square^2 + 2R^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - \frac{2}{3} R \square + \frac{1}{3} (\nabla^\mu R) \nabla_\mu, \quad (5)$$

having a property of being conformal invariant when acting on a scalar field of zero conformal weight. Here and below we use the sign conventions $R^\mu_{\cdot\alpha\nu\beta} = \partial_\nu \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} - \dots$, $R_{\alpha\beta} = R^\mu_{\cdot\alpha\mu\beta}$, $R = g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}$.

The both Polyakov and Riegert actions are remarkably similar in structure. Apart from the local R^2 term they are both nonlocal, the Green's function of the 4-th order operator $\mathcal{D}$ being a 4D-generalization of 2D co-variant D'Alambertian $\square$. Apart from the C^2 and F^2 terms, nonlocal Riegert

action is quadratic in the quantity $E - \frac{2}{3}\square R$, which is a density of the topological invariant - total derivative term - the 4D generalization of the 2D Euler density R :

$$R \frac{1}{\square} R \longleftrightarrow \left(E - \frac{2}{3}\square R \right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} \left(E - \frac{2}{3}\square R \right). \quad (6)$$

This emphasizes the topological information encoded in 2D and 4D anomalous effective actions. Finally, even their nonlocalities have a similar nature because a naive infrared behaviour of their Green functions is logarithmic

$$\frac{1}{\square}\delta(x, y) \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}\delta(x, y) \sim \ln \frac{|x - y|}{\mu},$$

and strictly speaking, does not make sense in asymptotically-flat spacetime, unless the Green's functions are acting upon the total derivative terms [5] (which is just the case of both actions).

The above list of similarities may not be complete, but there exists an essential difference between two and four dimensions: while the one-loop action in 2D is actually exhausted by the Polyakov action (up to inessential constant), the Riegert action in 4D represents only anomalous part of the total action defined up to a nontrivial conformal invariant functional $\overline{W}$ of the metric and matter fields, bearing important physical information:

$$W_{1\text{-loop}}^{(2D)} = W_P + \text{const} \longleftrightarrow W_{1\text{-loop}}^{(4D)} = W_R + \overline{W}. \quad (7)$$

Such a conformal decomposition of the full action is, obviously not unique and very little is known about its conformal invariant part $\overline{W}$. So, the purpose of our work is:

- (i) to find a class of conformal decompositions of the one-loop effective action and show that the freedom within this class can be fixed by the choice of the conformal gauge;
- (ii) as a by-product of the above, to find a class of non-local effective actions generating the conformal anomaly and establish the status of Riegert's action in this class;
- (iii) to calculate the conformal invariant part of the effective action $\overline{W}$ by the technique of the covariant curvature expansion and demonstrate the drastic simplification of the latter in the new conformal basis of the non-local invariants;

- (iv) briefly discuss and speculate on the applications of this conformal decomposition in black-hole physics, theory of the quantum gravitational collapse and possible extension of the 2D methods of the conformal field theory to higher dimensions.

2 Integrating the conformal anomaly

Given the conformal anomaly $T_A(g)$, the equation

$$g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta W_R}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} T_A, \quad (8)$$

can be easily integrated along the orbit of the local conformal group

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = e^{-\sigma(x)} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (9)$$

to give

$$W[g] = W[\bar{g}] + \Delta W[\sigma, \bar{g}], \quad \Delta W[\sigma, \bar{g}] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\sigma d\sigma' T_A(e^{-\sigma'} \bar{g}). \quad (10)$$

Omitting the technical details, let us mention that the resulting $\Delta W[\sigma, \bar{g}]$ is quartic in the conformal group parameter $\sigma(x)$ and its derivatives

$$\Delta W \sim \sigma + \sigma^2 + \sigma^3 + \sigma^4.$$

It is remarkable, however, that cubic and quartic terms here can be absorbed into a simple conformal invariant $\int d^4x \bar{g}^{1/2} R^2(\bar{g})$ – the functional of $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$, so that ΔW takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta W = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x g^{1/2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[aC^2 + dF^2 + b \left(E - \frac{2}{3} \square R \right) \right] \sigma \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{b}{2} \sigma \mathcal{D} \sigma - \left(\frac{c}{12} + \frac{b}{18} \right) R^2 \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \frac{b}{18} \int d^4x \bar{g}^{1/2} R^2(\bar{g}), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the quadratic in σ form contains the 4-th order conformal invariant operator $\mathcal{D}$ (5).

3 Conformal gauge fixing

The further manipulations with eqs. (10) and (11) are aimed to declare $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ to be the conformal invariant – the unique representative of the class of equivalence of metrics belonging to the orbit of the conformal group (9). This can be attained by a conventional gauge-fixing procedure: choosing the surface of some conformal gauge conditions

$$\chi(g) = 0, \quad (12)$$

transversal to the conformal orbit and having with this orbit the only one intersection point $\bar{g}$. This configuration-space point $\bar{g} = ge^\sigma$, when substituted into the gauge condition, results in the equation for conformal gauge parameter

$$\chi(ge^\sigma) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma = \Sigma(g), \quad (13)$$

shifting arbitrary metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ along its conformal orbit to its conformal invariant representative

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{\Sigma(g)} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}[g] = 0. \quad (14)$$

Thus the needed conformal decomposition takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} W[g] &= \bar{W}[g] + W_A[g, \Sigma(g)], \\ \bar{W}[g] &= W[\bar{g}] + \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \frac{b}{18} \int d^4x \bar{g}^{1/2} R^2(\bar{g}), \quad \bar{g} = e^{\Sigma(g)} g, \\ W_A[g, \Sigma] &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x g^{1/2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[aC^2 + dF^2 + b \left(E - \frac{2}{3} \square R \right) \right] \Sigma \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{b}{2} \Sigma \mathcal{D} \Sigma - \left(\frac{c}{12} + \frac{b}{18} \right) R^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\bar{W}[g]$ and $W_A[g, \Sigma(g)]$ are its conformal-invariant and anomalous parts respectively.

There are two distinguished, so to say “exactly solvable” conformal gauges in 4D, in which the gauge parameter $\Sigma(g)$ can be calculated in a closed form as a functional of g . One is due to Fradkin and Vilkovisky [4]

$$\chi_{\text{FV}}(g) = R(g), \quad (16)$$

with

$$\Sigma_{\text{FV}}(g) = 2 \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{\square - R/6} R \right), \quad (17)$$

the operator $\square - R/6$ (conformal covariant when acting on a scalar of -1 conformal weight) playing the role of the corresponding Faddeev–Popov operator. Another gauge is intrinsically associated with the structure of ΔW in (11), and can be called the Riegert gauge [3]

$$\chi_{\text{R}}(g) = E(g) - \frac{2}{3} \square R(g), \quad (18)$$

for which

$$\Sigma_{\text{R}}(g) = -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{D}} \left(E - \frac{2}{3} \square R \right), \quad (19)$$

and the anomalous part of (15) coincides with the Riegert one (3) :

$$W_{\text{A}}[g, \Sigma_{\text{R}}(g)] = W_{\text{R}}[g].$$

Curiously enough, there is only one analogous “exactly solvable” conformal gauge in 2D, $R(g) = 0$ with $\Sigma(g) = (1/\square)R$. It would be interesting to check if the hierarchy of such distinguished gauges grows with the spacetime dimensionality.

4 Conformal invariant curvature expansion for $\overline{W}$

Very little is known about the conformal invariant part of the effective action $\overline{W}$, and, to the best of our knowledge, it can be calculated for a generic background only within certain approximation schemes. One such scheme recently developed in a series of papers [5, 6, 7, 8] represents a covariant expansion in powers of curvatures and field strengths with the coefficients - the nonlocal operator form factors. The interest in this particular approximation arises from a simple model of the quantum gravitational collapse of a spherical thin null shell considered by Frolov and Vilkovisky [2]. Under very

weak assumptions they showed that for quantum effective action modelling the highest order derivatives

$$W = \int d^4x g^{1/2} (R - a C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^2) + \dots$$

(with some nonperturbative constant a) the collapsing null shell of a small mass $\mathcal{M} \ll \sqrt{a}$ does not develop either horizon or singularity, and for large $\mathcal{M}$ is likely to generate a spacetime geometry which is free from singularities and has a closed apparent horizon surrounding the domain of large but finite spacetime curvature uniformly bounding the curvature throughout the whole spacetime. This brings to life the idea of expansion in powers of curvatures and field strengths of small magnitude but however rapidly varying in spacetime.

For the one-loop effective action

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln H, \quad (20)$$

in Euclidean noncompact asymptotically flat spacetime the expansion up to third order in the curvature $\mathfrak{R}$ looks as follows [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} -W = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x g^{1/2} \text{tr} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^5 \gamma_i(-\square_2) \mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2(i) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{29} \Gamma_i(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) \mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(i) \right\} + O[\mathfrak{R}^4]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Here H is a generic second order minimal operator

$$H = \square \hat{1} + \left(\hat{P} - \frac{1}{6} R \hat{1} \right), \quad \square = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu, \quad (22)$$

acting on an arbitrary set of fields $\varphi^A(x)$. Here A stands for any set of discrete indices, and the hat indicates that the quantity is a matrix in the corresponding vector space of field components. The operator (22) depends on three arbitrary quantities: spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, covariant derivative connection and a potential $\hat{P}$.

The quadratic $\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2(i)$ and cubic $\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(i)$ structures in (21) are invariants which are constructed with the help of the corresponding three “curvatures” [6, 8, 9]:

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{R_{\mu\nu}, \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{P}\}, \quad (23)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}$ is a commutator curvature $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu]\varphi = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}\varphi$. An important feature of the covariant curvature expansion is that it uses Ricci curvature tensor rather than the Riemann one due to the fact that in the asymptotically flat spacetime the Riemann tensor can always be eliminated via the differentiated Bianchi identity

$$R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\square} \left(\nabla^\mu \nabla^\alpha R^{\nu\beta} + \nabla^\nu \nabla^\beta R^{\mu\alpha} - \nabla^\nu \nabla^\alpha R^{\mu\beta} - \nabla^\mu \nabla^\beta R^{\nu\alpha} \right) + O[R^2]. \quad (24)$$

The form factors γ_i, Γ_i in the covariant curvature expansion (21) are non-local operator functions of d'Alambertian operator $\square$ (subscripts 1, 2, 3 mean that $\square_1$ acts on $\mathfrak{R}_1$ only and similarly for $\square_2, \square_3$). The explicit expressions for the second order form factors γ_i were obtained in [6] and have a rather simple structure

$$\gamma_i(-\square) = \text{const} \cdot \ln(-\square/\mu^2) + \text{const}. \quad (25)$$

The third order form factors Γ_i are significantly more complicated and schematically can be represented as follows

$$\Gamma_i(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = A \Gamma(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) + \sum_{i,k=1;i < k}^3 C_{ik} \frac{\ln(\square_i/\square_k)}{(\square_i - \square_k)} + B, \quad (26)$$

where A, B, C_{ik} are complicated rational functions of $\square_1, \square_2, \square_3$. The *fundamental form factor* $\Gamma(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3)$ can not be expressed in elementary functions but has several integral representations [8]. The actual expressions for (26) are huge and take pages [8].

If one considers the operator H which corresponds to some conformal invariant theory, say complex conformal scalar field with $\lambda\phi^4$ interaction, it is possible to obtain the anomaly (4) by direct variation of (21) [10]. Unfortunately this derivation has an important drawback: the resulting anomaly is not exact for the quantity $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^2$ is expanded in powers of Ricci tensor due to (24). The effective action (21) reproduces anomaly only up to second order in the curvature inclusive.

Another important observation comes from the fact that the anomaly (4) is local while the conformal variation of each $\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3(i)$ produces a contribution containing the essentially nonlocal fundamental form factor Γ . In the

final answer all terms with Γ cancel each other, which was verified by the direct computation [10]. This means that not all form factors Γ_i are actually independent but some of them are linear combinations of the others.

Our goal is to utilize these facts to rewrite (21) in a more compact form accounting for the conformal anomaly. In order to do so we are going to perform the conformal decomposition of the action (21) on the anomalous W_A and the conformal invariant part $\overline{W}$. For sake of clarity, we restrict ourselves initially to the purely gravitational part of the action which includes ten cubic structures composed of spacetime curvatures $R_{\mu\nu}$ and R only:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(9) &= R_1 R_2 R_3, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(10) &= R_{1\alpha}^\mu R_{2\beta}^\alpha R_{3\mu}^\beta, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(11) &= R_1^{\mu\nu} R_{2\mu\nu} R_3, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(22) &= R_1^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha R_2 \nabla_\beta R_3, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(23) &= \nabla^\mu R_1^{\nu\alpha} \nabla_\nu R_{2\mu\alpha} R_3, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(24) &= R_1^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu R_2^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu R_{3\alpha\beta}, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(25) &= R_1^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha R_{2\beta\mu} \nabla^\beta R_{3\nu}^\alpha, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(27) &= \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta R_1^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R_2^{\alpha\beta} R_3, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(28) &= \nabla_\mu R_1^{\alpha\lambda} \nabla_\nu R_{2\lambda}^\beta \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta R_3^{\mu\nu}, \\
\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(29) &= \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\sigma R_1^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta R_2^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R_3^{\lambda\sigma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

We can rewrite this part of the effective action in a new basis $\overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(i)$ which is identical to (27) but with Ricci tensor replaced by the tensor $C_{\mu\nu}$:

$$R_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow C_{\mu\nu}, \tag{28}$$

which is a nonlocal contraction of the Weyl tensor

$$C_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2}{\square} \nabla^\pi \nabla^\tau C_{\mu\pi\nu\tau}. \tag{29}$$

The relationship between $R_{\mu\nu}$ and $C_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$C_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6} g_{\mu\nu} R - \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\square} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R + \mathcal{O}[R^2]. \tag{30}$$

It is not hard to see that $C_{\mu\nu}$ is actually a transverse-traceless part of the Ricci tensor

$$C_\pi^\pi = 0, \quad \nabla_\pi C_\alpha^\pi = O[R^2].$$

But the most important feature of $C_{\mu\nu}$ is that in some sense it is a conformal invariant part of $R_{\mu\nu}$. Indeed, for both conformal gauges (17), (19)

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\bar{g}) = R_{\mu\nu}(ge^{\Sigma(g)}) = C_{\mu\nu}(g) + O[R^2]. \quad (31)$$

The quantity $C_{\mu\nu}$ is not exactly conformal invariant but unlike $R_{\mu\nu}$ the conformal transformation of $C_{\mu\nu}$ is quadratic in curvature

$$C_{\mu\nu}(ge^\sigma) = C_{\mu\nu}(g) + O[R^2]. \quad (32)$$

Taking into account (30) it is not hard to rewrite the cubic part of the effective action (21) in the new $(C_{\mu\nu}, R)$ -basis and compute the corresponding new form factors. But now cubic structures can be subdivided into two qualitatively different groups. The first group includes five structures which consist of $C_{\mu\nu}$ only:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(10) &= C_{1\alpha}^\mu C_{2\beta}^\alpha C_{3\mu}^\beta, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(24) &= C_1^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu C_2^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu C_{3\alpha\beta}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(25) &= C_1^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha C_{2\beta\mu} \nabla^\beta C_{3\nu}^\alpha, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(28) &= \nabla_\mu C_1^{\alpha\lambda} \nabla_\nu C_{2\lambda}^\beta \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta C_3^{\mu\nu}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(29) &= \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\sigma C_1^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta C_2^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu C_3^{\lambda\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Due to (32) these structures do not contribute to the conformal anomaly in a given approximation. It is worth noting that form factors of the structures (33) remain *exactly* equal to the form factors of the corresponding original structures $\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3(i)$, $i = 10, 24, 25, 28, 29$.

Other five structures contain at least one scalar curvature:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(9) &= R_1 R_2 R_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(11) &= C_1^{\mu\nu} C_{2\mu\nu} R_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(22) &= C_1^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha R_2 \nabla_\beta R_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(23) &= \nabla^\mu C_1^{\nu\alpha} \nabla_\nu C_{2\mu\alpha} R_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(27) &= \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta C_1^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu C_2^{\alpha\beta} R_3. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

All these structures contribute to the anomaly via conformal variation of R . But the form factors of these structures are subject to great simplification. Instead of having the form (26) they all boil down to very short expressions whose structure can be schematically represented as follows

$$\bar{\Gamma}_i = \frac{1}{\square} + \frac{\square \ln(\square/\square)}{\square(\square - \square)}, \quad (35)$$

where $1/\square$ and $\square/\square$ stand for some simple ratios of d'Alembertian operators like $1/\square_1$ or $\square_1/\square_2\square_3$ etc.

Already on this stage we achieved our first goal – the resulting expression for cubic part of the action is significantly shorter than the original one. But it is possible to push this simplification even further. The idea is to eliminate the contribution of structures (34) from the cubic part of the action *completely*. It is possible to do this by including in the action the exact anomalous part (15) and replacing the rest of quadratic in curvature terms by the explicitly conformal invariant functional.

A simple generalization of the anomalous action (15) to the case of the conformal invariant theory with the inverse propagator (22) looks as follows. Let the operator (22) be conformal covariant on the set of fields $\varphi^A(x)$ with some conformal weights, under the following transformations of the metric and matter field strengths

$$g'_{\mu\nu} = e^\sigma g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{P}' = e^\sigma \hat{P}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{R}}'_{\mu\nu} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (36)$$

Then the conformal anomaly for the action (20) is given by the trace of the second Schwinger-DeWitt coefficient

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} + \dots \right) W = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} g^{1/2} \text{tr} \hat{a}_2(x, x), \quad (37)$$

$$\hat{a}_2(x, x) = \frac{1}{6} \square \hat{P} + \frac{1}{180} \square R \hat{1} + \frac{1}{180} (R^2_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - R^2_{\mu\nu}) \hat{1} + \frac{1}{12} \hat{\mathcal{R}}^2_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}^2, \quad (38)$$

where the dots denote the conformal variation with respect to relevant matter fields participating in the transformations (36). One can easily check that this generic conformal anomaly can be obtained from its particular case (4) by replacing the numerical parameters a , b and c with $-\text{tr} \hat{1}/60$, $\text{tr} \hat{1}/180$ and $-\text{tr} \hat{1}/90$ respectively, substituting $-\hat{\mathcal{R}}^2_{\mu\nu}/12 - \hat{P}^2/2$ instead of $dF^2_{\mu\nu}/2$ and

adding the $\square\hat{P}$ term. The corresponding anomalous action can be obtained by the same modifications from (15) to give

$$\begin{aligned}
-W_A = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x g^{1/2} \text{tr} \left\{ -\frac{1}{18} \hat{P} R - \frac{\hat{1}}{1620} R^2 \right. \\
& + \left[-\frac{\hat{1}}{120} C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^2 - \frac{1}{12} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}^2 + \frac{\hat{1}}{360} \left(E - \frac{2}{3} \square R \right) \right] \Sigma \\
& \left. + \frac{\hat{1}}{360} \Sigma \mathcal{D} \Sigma \right\}, \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

where the local $\hat{P}R$ term generates the $\square\hat{P}$ term of the generic anomaly (note that this local finite term has been first obtained in the second order of the covariant curvature expansion in [6]).

With this anomalous part the conformal decomposition of the effective action (20) takes in Fradkin–Vilkovisky (17) or Riegert (19) gauges the form:

$$W = \overline{W} + W_A. \tag{40}$$

Notice that, in our approximation for $\overline{W}$ cubic in the curvatures, these two gauges are equivalent since $\Sigma_{\text{FV}}(g) \approx \Sigma_{\text{R}}(g) = \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{\square}R + \mathcal{O}[R^2]$ and W_{FV} and W_{R} differ only by $\mathcal{O}[R^4]$ terms.

The conformal invariant part of the action $\overline{W}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
-\overline{W} = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x g^{1/2} \text{tr} \left\{ -\frac{\hat{1}}{60} \overline{R}^{\alpha\beta} \left(\ln(-\overline{\square}_R/\mu^2) - \frac{16}{15} \right) \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{12} \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\mu\nu} \left(\ln(-\overline{\square}_{\mathcal{R}}/\mu^2) - \frac{2}{3} \right) \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P} \ln(-\overline{\square}_P/\mu^2) \hat{P} \\
& \left. + \sum \overline{\Gamma}_i(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1 \mathfrak{R}_2 \mathfrak{R}_3}(i) \right\}. \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}(g) &= R_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{g}) \\
&\equiv R_{\alpha\beta} - \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} (\square \Sigma + (\nabla \Sigma)^2) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\alpha \Sigma \nabla_\beta \Sigma \\
&= C_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}[R^2], \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

and the operators $\overline{\square}_R, \overline{\square}_P, \overline{\square}_{\mathcal{R}}$ are defined in a such a way as to make the corresponding $\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}^2, \hat{P}^2, \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}^2$ terms *exactly* conformal invariant (and accounting for zero conformal weights of covariant tensors $R_{\alpha\beta}, \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}$ and the conformal weight $+2$ of $\hat{P}$):

$$\overline{\square}_R(g)R_{\alpha\beta} = \square(\overline{g})R_{\alpha\beta} = \square(ge^{\Sigma(g)})R_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (43)$$

$$\overline{\square}_{\mathcal{R}}(g)\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha\beta} = \square(\overline{g})\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (44)$$

$$\overline{\square}_P(g)\hat{P} = e^{-\Sigma(g)}\square(\overline{g})\left(e^{\Sigma(g)}\hat{P}\right). \quad (45)$$

The sum in the cubic part of the action (41) includes only 19 structures, namely 5 gravitational (33) and 14 matter-field ones:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(1) &= \hat{P}_1\hat{P}_2\hat{P}_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(2) &= \hat{\mathcal{R}}_1^\mu{}_\alpha\hat{\mathcal{R}}_2^\alpha{}_\beta\hat{\mathcal{R}}_3^\beta{}_\mu, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(3) &= \hat{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mu\nu}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{2\mu\nu}\hat{P}_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(5) &= C_1^{\mu\nu}C_{2\mu\nu}\hat{P}_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(8) &= C_1^{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{2\alpha}{}^\mu\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{3\beta\mu}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(12) &= \hat{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\alpha\beta}\nabla^\mu\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{2\mu\alpha}\nabla^\nu\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{3\nu\beta}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(13) &= \hat{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\hat{P}_2\nabla_\nu\hat{P}_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(14) &= \nabla_\mu\hat{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mu\alpha}\nabla^\nu\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{2\nu\alpha}\hat{P}_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(16) &= \nabla^\mu C_1^{\nu\alpha}\nabla_\nu C_{2\mu\alpha}\hat{P}_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(17) &= C_1^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\hat{P}_2\hat{P}_3, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(18) &= C_{1\alpha\beta}\nabla_\mu\hat{\mathcal{R}}_2^{\mu\alpha}\nabla_\nu\hat{\mathcal{R}}_3^{\nu\beta}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(19) &= C_1^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\hat{\mathcal{R}}_2^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\beta\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{3\mu\nu}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(21) &= C_1^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\lambda\hat{\mathcal{R}}_2^{\lambda\alpha}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{3\alpha\nu}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{R}_1\mathfrak{R}_2\mathfrak{R}_3}(26) &= \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta C_1^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu C_2^{\alpha\beta}\hat{P}_3. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

None of these structures contain a scalar curvature, which makes them conformal invariant in a given approximation.

The form factors $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ have several integral representations. The most compact one is so called α -representation in which the functions are given in terms of the integrals

$$\left\langle \frac{P(\alpha, \square)}{-\Omega} \right\rangle_3 = \int_{\alpha \geq 0} d\alpha_1 d\alpha_2 d\alpha_3 \delta(1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3) \frac{P(\alpha, \square)}{-\Omega}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\Omega = \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \square_1 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \square_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \square_3,$$

and $P(\alpha, \square)$ is an arbitrary polynomial in α with $\square$ -dependent coefficients. In this representation the form factors are

$$\bar{\Gamma}_1(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \right\rangle_3, \quad (48)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_2(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{4}{3} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \right) \right\rangle_3 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\ln(\square_1/\square_2)}{(\square_1 - \square_2)}, \quad (49)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_3(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} (2\alpha_1 \alpha_2) \right\rangle_3, \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_5(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{9} \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{9} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \frac{2}{9} \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{\square_1}{\square_2} \left(\frac{1}{9} \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{9} \alpha_2^2 \right) \right) \right\rangle_3 + \frac{1}{4\square_2} - \frac{\square_3}{24\square_1\square_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_8(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{6} \alpha_2 + \frac{5}{3} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \right. \right. \\ & - 2\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 - 4\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 + 8\alpha_1 \alpha_2^2 \alpha_3 \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{\square_2}{\square_1} \left(-\frac{1}{6} \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + 8\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \right) \right) \right\rangle_3, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{10}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{3} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \right) \right\rangle_3 + \frac{1}{270\square_3} \\ & - \frac{\square_1}{540\square_2\square_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_{12}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \frac{1}{\square_1} \left[\left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{8}{3}\alpha_1^2 - 4\alpha_1^3 \right. \right. \right. \\ & + \frac{\square_1}{\square_2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 \right) + \frac{\square_1}{\square_3} \left(\frac{1}{3}\alpha_3 \right) \Bigg\rangle_3 \\ & \left. + \frac{\ln(\square_1/\square_2)}{(\square_1 - \square_2)} \left(\frac{\square_1}{3\square_3} \right) + \frac{\ln(\square_1/\square_3)}{(\square_1 - \square_3)} \left(\frac{\square_1}{3\square_2} \right) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (54)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{13}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = \frac{1}{\square_1} \left[\left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} (2\alpha_1) \right\rangle_3 + 2 \frac{\ln(\square_2/\square_3)}{(\square_2 - \square_3)} \right], \quad (55)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{14}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = \frac{1}{\square_3} \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} (2\alpha_3 - 4\alpha_3^2) \right\rangle_3, \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_{16}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \frac{1}{\square_1} \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{4}{9}\alpha_1 + \frac{4}{3}\alpha_2\alpha_1^2 - \frac{4}{9}\alpha_1\alpha_3 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{4}{3}\alpha_1^2\alpha_3 \right) \right\rangle_3 + \frac{1}{6\square_1\square_2},\end{aligned}\quad (57)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{17}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = \frac{1}{\square_1} \left[\left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} (2\alpha_1^2) \right\rangle_3 + \frac{\ln(\square_2/\square_3)}{(\square_2 - \square_3)} \right], \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_{18}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \frac{1}{\square_1} \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} (2\alpha_1^2 - 8\alpha_1^2\alpha_2 \right. \\ & \left. + 8\alpha_1^2\alpha_2\alpha_3) \right\rangle_3,\end{aligned}\quad (59)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_{19}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \frac{1}{\square_1} \left[\left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} (-4\alpha_1^2\alpha_2\alpha_3) \right\rangle_3 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\ln(\square_2/\square_3)}{(\square_2 - \square_3)} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (60)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_{21}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \frac{1}{\square_1} \left[\left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} (8\alpha_1^2\alpha_3 - 16\alpha_1^2\alpha_3^2) \right\rangle_3 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\ln(\square_2/\square_3)}{(\square_2 - \square_3)} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (61)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Gamma}_{24}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \frac{1}{\square_2} \left[\left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(-\frac{5}{54}\alpha_2 - \frac{23}{270}\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{2}{5}\alpha_1^2\alpha_2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& - \frac{4}{15}\alpha_1^3\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{270}\alpha_2^2 + \frac{13}{270}\alpha_2\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{5}\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \frac{4}{15}\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3^2 \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{\square_2}{\square_1} \left(-\frac{2}{45}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{45}\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{45}\alpha_1\alpha_3 \right) \right) \right\rangle_3 \\
& + \frac{\ln(\square_2/\square_3)}{(\square_2 - \square_3)} \left(\frac{-\square_2}{30\square_1} \right) \Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{540\square_2\square_3}, \tag{62}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Gamma}_{25}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \frac{1}{\square_1} \left[\left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(-\frac{13}{135}\alpha_1 - \frac{56}{135}\alpha_1\alpha_2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{28}{45}\alpha_1\alpha_2^2 + \frac{32}{45}\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2 + \frac{16}{15}\alpha_1\alpha_2^2\alpha_3 \\
& + \frac{\square_1}{\square_3} \left(-\frac{8}{45}\alpha_3 - \frac{37}{135}\alpha_1\alpha_3 + \frac{16}{45}\alpha_1^3\alpha_3 + \frac{11}{135}\alpha_2\alpha_3 \right. \\
& + \frac{28}{45}\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 - \frac{4}{45}\alpha_2^2\alpha_3 - \frac{16}{45}\alpha_1\alpha_2^2\alpha_3 + \frac{1}{135}\alpha_3^2 \\
& \left. \left. \left. + \frac{32}{45}\alpha_1\alpha_3^2 - \frac{16}{45}\alpha_1^2\alpha_3^2 - \frac{32}{45}\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3^2 + \frac{16}{45}\alpha_2^2\alpha_3^2 \right) \right) \right\rangle_3 \\
& + \frac{\ln(\square_1/\square_2)}{(\square_1 - \square_2)} \left(\frac{-2\square_1}{15\square_3} \right) \Big] \\
& - \frac{1}{135\square_1\square_3} + \frac{1}{270\square_2\square_3}, \tag{63}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{26}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = \frac{1}{\square_1\square_2} \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} (4\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2) \right\rangle_3, \tag{64}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Gamma}_{28}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = & \frac{1}{\square_1\square_2} \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{8}{3}\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2\alpha_3 \right) \right\rangle_3 \\
& + \frac{1}{135\square_1\square_2\square_3}, \tag{65}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{29}(-\square_1, -\square_2, -\square_3) = \frac{1}{\square_1\square_2\square_3} \left\langle \frac{1}{-\Omega} \left(\frac{8}{3}\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2\alpha_3^2 \right) \right\rangle_3. \tag{66}$$

With the help of the algorithms described in [8] the α -representation can be converted to several other integral representations: the spectral, Laplace and explicit one.

The effective action (40), (39), (41) is the desired new representation of the original effective action in the generic field model. In the conformal invariant model this representation performs the splitting of (21) in the anomalous and conformal invariant parts, and the action (40) reproduces the conformal anomaly via W_A *exactly*. Strictly speaking, this conformal decomposition has a natural interpretation only in conformal invariant theories with the properties (36). But, as it has been checked by direct calculations, the representation (39)–(41) is also valid for a theory with a generic operator H .

5 Conclusions

In conclusion let us dwell on possible implications of the obtained result. One of the prospects opening with the knowledge of the conformal invariant part $\overline{W}$ (actually, the knowledge of the one-loop 3-vertex for a generic field theory) might be utilized in extending and generalizing the 2D results for correlation functions, operator products, etc. to 4D and higher dimensions [11].

The main field of application is, however, the theory of quantum black holes and quantum gravitational collapse. Here the major problem is a quantum backreaction which is expected to be dominated by the Hawking radiation. The latter arises in the presence of the BH horizon and is, apparently, of the nonperturbative nature, since its flux and density are inverse to the mass of a black hole, which is proportional to the curvature magnitude

$$\mathfrak{R} \sim \mathcal{M}, \quad \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{Hawking}} \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}^4}.$$

Apparently, this would mean that a naive curvature expansion breaks down, but its conformal version with the *exact* anomalous part W_A could still be useful in view of the following observation. The BH metric in the vicinity of the horizon is conformally equivalent to the homogeneous spacetime $R \times H^3$ with the spatial section H^3 of constant negative curvature [12]

$$ds^2|_{\text{horizon}} \approx e^{2\sigma}(-dt^2 + dl_{H^3}^2).$$

Therefore the effect of this conformal factor can be taken into account by the proposed method of the conformal decomposition provided the constant spatial curvature can also be incorporated in the calculation of the conformal invariant part of the effective action $\overline{W}$.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to G. A. Vilkovisky for setting the problem of converting the covariant curvature expansion into the conformal basis and fruitful discussions. We also thank A. Zelnikov for his assistance in calculations at the initial stage of this project. This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research Grant 93-02-15594, International (Soros) Science Foundation and Government of the Russian Federation Grant MQY300, and the European Community Grant INTAS-93-493. One of the authors (A.O.B.) is also grateful for the support of this work provided by the Russian Research Project "Cosmomicrophysics".

References

- [1] A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B103 (1981) 207
- [2] V. P. Frolov and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett B106 (1981) 307; Proceedings of the Second Seminar on Quantum Gravity (Moscow, 1981), ed. by M. A. Markov and P. C. West (Plenum, London, 1983) p. 267
- [3] R. J. Riegert, Phys. Lett. B134 (1984) 56
- [4] E. S. Fradkin and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. B73 (1978) 209
- [5] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, Nucl. Phys. B282 (1987) 163
- [6] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, Nucl. Phys. B333 (1990) 471
- [7] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, Nucl. Phys. B333 (1990) 512
- [8] A. O. Barvinsky, Yu. V. Gusev, G. A. Vilkovisky and V. V. Zhytnikov, Covariant Perturbation Theory (IV). Third Order in the Curvature, Report of the University of Manitoba (University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, 1993)

- [9] A. O. Barvinsky, Yu. V. Gusev, G. A. Vilkovisky and V. V. Zhytnikov, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 3525
- [10] A. O. Barvinsky, Yu. V. Gusev, G. A. Vilkovisky and V. V. Zhytnikov, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 3543
- [11] H. Osborn and A. Petkou, Ann. of Phys. 231 (1994) 311
- [12] A. O. Barvinsky, V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1741